

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, JULY 10, 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S070959      The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,  
                         v.  
                         Julius Lamar Cox, Defendant and Appellant.

-----  
In re Julius Lamar Cox on Habeas Corpus

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed, and the matter remanded to that court for further proceedings consistent with the views expressed herein.

Baxter, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.  
Mosk, J.  
Kennard, J.  
Werdegarr, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.

S082111      Ronnie Barnes, Petitioner,  
                         v.  
                         Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Employment  
                         Development Department et al., Respondents  
                         The decision of the Court of Appeal is reversed.

Werdegarr, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.  
Mosk, J.  
Kennard, J.  
Baxter, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.

S086187      In re Nicomedes Viray  
                         on  
                         Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Attorney General's informal response is extended to and including July 31, 2000.

S086439      In re Anthony Lamar Dean  
                         on  
                         Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including August 4, 2000.

S084057      Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London et al., Petitioners  
                         v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent  
Powerine Oil Company et al., Real Parties in Interest

The order filed on June 15, 2000, granting Weir Floway, Inc. permission to file an amicus curiae brief is hereby amended to read:

“The application of Weir Floway, Inc. for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of real party in interest Powerine Oil Company herein is hereby granted.

An answer thereto may be served and filed within twenty days of the filing of the brief.”

S007522      People, Respondent  
                         v.  
                         Daniel Steven Jenkins, Appellant

In the above-entitled matter, the court filed its decision on May 4, 2000, because of the following extraordinary and compelling circumstances:

1. The size of the record on appeal (totaling more than 49,000 pages).
2. The necessity to grant the parties, for good cause, multiple extensions of time within which to submit their briefing.
3. The number and complexity of the issues raised on appeal and the extensiveness of the briefing by the parties.

Bar  
Misc.  
4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners  
of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the  
following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for  
admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to  
the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to  
the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another  
time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)